

September 4th, 2026
11th ILERA Asian Regional Congress

Special Session

Beyond Employment Polarization?

Intermediate Employment Categories and Transitions from Non-regular to Regular Status in Japan

Introduction

Employment Polarization and Its Changes after the Mid-2010s in Japan

Koji Takahashi

(Japan Institute for Labour Policy and Training (JILPT))

Takeshi Okamoto

(Oita University)

1. Session Overview

1-1. Focus of the Session

- Japan has experienced substantial employment polarization. A distinctive trend in the nation is the wide disparity between regular and non-regular employment.
- Labor policies and market conditions have changed since the mid-2010s.
- Against this background, the Japan Institute for Labour Policy and Training (JILPT) launched a research project, and conducted large-scale surveys and in-depth interviews.
- In this special session, we inquire into whether Japan is moving beyond employment polarization, focusing on the realities of:
 - emerging intermediate employment categories (diversified regular workers; non-regular workers with permanent employment contracts)
 - transitions from non-regular to regular employment

1-2. Terms and Definitions

Regular workers (employees): Individuals employed as regular employees by a company or organization.

- * In many cases, they are directly employed full-time workers with permanent contracts.
- **Conventional (traditional) regular workers**: Among regular workers, those for whom the scope of possible changes in job duties and work location is the broadest and the prescribed working hours are the longest. If the company has only one category of regular workers, all regular workers are classified as conventional regular workers.
- **Diversified (restricted/limited) regular workers**: Regular workers employed under categories in which at least one aspect of their working arrangements—job duties, work location, or working hours—is restricted. This category includes: **job-restricted (job-limited) regular workers**, **location-restricted (location-limited) regular workers**, and **working hours-restricted (working hours-limited) regular workers**.

Non-regular workers (employees): Employees other than the regular workers defined above.

- * In many cases, they are part-time, fixed-term, or temporary agency (dispatched) workers.
- * However, some are directly employed full-time workers with permanent contracts.
- * One focus of this session is permanently employed non-regular workers.

2. Background and Basic Information

2–1. Non-Regular Workers and the Japanese-Style Employment System

- “Non-regular workers” are defined by each company or organization. Japan’s *Labour Force Survey* also adopts this definition.
- They typically include:
 - part-time workers
 - fixed-term workers
 - temporary agency (dispatched) workers
- Their role is closely linked to the “Japanese-style employment system.”

	Regular workers	Non-regular workers
Assigned tasks	Core tasks	Peripheral tasks
Working conditions	Long-term employment In-house training Seniority-based wages	Lower job security Fewer training opportunities Lower pay
Duties	Greater obligations (transfers, long working hours, etc.)	Fewer obligations

2–2. Emergence of Employment Polarization and the Turning Point

Since the late 1990s


- Amid a **prolonged economic recession**, Japanese firms pursued cost reduction and greater workforce flexibility.
- The government **deregulated labor laws** under pressure from businesses.
- Consequently, non-regular employment expanded while regular employment declined, in particular among young people. Their poor working conditions attracted considerable attention as a sign of **employment polarization**.

Responses in the 2010s


- The government **re-regulated labor laws** to improve non-regular workers' working conditions.
- The labor market shifted to **labor shortage** as the baby-boomer generation reached retirement age.

From Deregulation to Re-Regulation

**Figure 1.
Non-regular
employment
policies in
Japan**



Ongoing Labor Shortage



Source: *Survey on Labor Economy Trend* (Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare).

Figure 2. Diffusion index of labor shortage and excess (shortage minus excess, % points)

3. What Has Changed—and What Has Not?

- Labor law re-regulation and labor shortages have reshaped Japan's labor market since the mid-2010s.
- However, some features have remained largely unchanged, while others have changed considerably.

Largely unchanged aspects:

- The number of non-regular workers remains stable
- Differences in wage distribution remain substantial

Emerging changes:


- Diversified regular employment has expanded
- The number of permanently employed non-regular workers has increased
- Transitions to regular employment appear to have become easier

- In this session, we examine these emerging changes and explore whether Japan is moving beyond employment polarization.

Unchanged Aspect (1)


Number of Non-Regular Workers

Non-regular employment remains high, although regular employment has increased since the mid-2010s and the share of non-regular workers has declined among women and young workers.



Source: Calculated by the authors based on the *Labour Force Survey* (Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications).

Figure 3-1. Composition of the population aged 15 years and above (million people)




Source: *Labour Force Survey* (Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications).

Figure 3-2. Ratio of non-regular workers among all employees by gender and age (%)

Unchanged Aspect (2)

Wage Distribution

The wages of non-regular workers remain far below those of regular workers.




Source: *Basic Survey on Wage Structure* (Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare). Note: Non-regular workers are restricted to full-time workers.

Figure 4. Distribution of monthly wages (thousand yen)

Emerging Change (1)


Diversified Regular Employment

Firms have introduced regular positions with limited job duties, work locations, and working hours for various reasons, including promoting transitions from non-regular to regular employment and enhancing the work-family balance of regular workers.



Source: Surveys conducted by JILPT (preliminary figures).

Figure 5-1. Establishments (sized 100-299) employing location-restricted regular workers (%) (preliminary figures)



Source: *General Survey on Diversified Types of Employment* (Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare).


Figure 5-2. Establishments (all sizes) employing diversified regular workers (%)

Emerging Change (2)

Permanently Employed Non-Regular Workers


Permanently employed non-regular workers have become more prevalent since 2018*.

* “Fixed-term to permanent conversion” was accelerated in 2018 following the revision of the Labor Contracts Act in 2012.



Source: *Labour Force Survey* (Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications).

Figure 6-1. Number of non-regular workers by type of employment contract (million people)



Source: *Employment Status Survey* (Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications).


Figure 6-2. Number of non-regular workers by type of employment contract (million people)

Emerging Change (3)

Signs of Broader Transition Opportunities


The share of involuntary non-regular workers has declined regardless of gender and age*.

* However, exact data showing an increase in the number of transitions are not currently available.



Source: Labour Force Survey (Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications).

Figure 7-1. Reasons for choosing non-regular employment (%)



Source: Labour Force Survey (Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications).

Figure 7-2. Ratio of involuntary non-regular workers by gender and age (%)

We Focus on

Around 1990

Around 2010

Present and Future?

Regular

Regular

Conventional
regular

Diversified
regular

**Intermediate
categories**

Non-regular
(permanent)

Non-regular
(fixed-term)

Transitions

Recession,
deregulation,
cost cut, etc.

Re-regulation,
labor shortage,
etc.

Serious polarization

Both fixed-
term and
permanent
are included

Non-regular

Non-regular

4. Data Used in This Session

Employer–employee matched questionnaire survey conducted by JILPT in 2024 (“Survey on the Working Conditions and Treatment of Workers with Permanent Contracts”)

- Questionnaires distributed:
 - 15,000 employers with 10 or more employees
 - 50,000 employees with permanent contracts
- Responses:
 - 3,944 employers (26.3%)
 - 8,154 employees (16.3%)
 - 7,557 matched responses
- Excluded: Daily workers, workers with contract durations under one month, temporary agency (dispatched) workers, mandatory-retirement rehires.

A series of interviews conducted by JILPT in 2025 (“Interview Survey on Transitions from Non-Regular to Regular Employment”)

- 20 from non-regular to regular transitioners (within the previous three years)
- Recruited from an online panel
- A 90-minute online interview coupled with a brief questionnaire

5. Following Presentations

- Naoko Hiramoto examines the **prevalence and HRM practices of each employment category and conversion paths** within firms, using **employers' responses to the JILPT 2024 survey**. (15 minutes)
- Yasutaka Fukui examines **wage disparities** across employment categories, controlling for individual characteristics and unobserved firm-level heterogeneity, using **workers' responses to the JILPT 2024 survey**. (10 minutes)
- Yuriko Morikawa examines the **job satisfaction** of non-regular workers with permanent contracts, using **workers' responses to the JILPT 2024 survey**. (10 minutes)
- Fumiko Nishino examines the experiences and perceptions of **workers who transitioned from non-regular to regular employment**, using data from the **JILPT 2025 interview**. (10 minutes)